

Introduction and Status of APES: A code for CEPC circular collider

Yaliang Zhao, on behalf of APES code developing group

2/10/2023

Accelerator Toolbox Workshop

Accelerator Physics Emulation System, IHEP



Table of Contents

- Motivations
- Code Status
- Some Examples
- Conclusions

Motivations



Brief Introduction to CEPC^[1]

The CEPC aims to start operation in 2030's, as a Higgs (Z / W) factory in China. 100km circumference, e+e- double ring collider: 45.5 GeV \rightarrow 180GeV Possible pp collider (SppC) of 50–100 TeV in the far future





CEPC baseline parameters

	Higgs	W	Z	ttbar
Number of IPs	2			
Circumference [km]	100.0			
SR power per beam [MW]	30			
Energy [GeV]	120	80	45.5	180
Bunch number	268	11934	1297	35
Emittance (ɛx/ɛy) [nm/pm]	0.64/1.3	0.27/1.4	0.87/1.7	1.4/4.7
Beta functions β_x^* / β_y^* (m/mm)	0.3/1	0.21/1	0.13/0.9	1.04/2.7
Beam size at IP ($\sigma x/\sigma y$) [um/nm]	14/36	6/35	13/42	39/113
Bunch length (SR/total) [mm]	2.3/4.1	2.5/8.7	2.5/4.9	2.2/2.9
Beam lifetime (Bhabha/beamstrahlung) [min]	40/40	90/2800	60/195	81/23
Beam-beam parameters (ξx/ξy)	0.015/0.11	0.004/0.127	0.012/0.113	0.071/0.1
Luminosity per IP[10 ³⁴ /cm ² /s]	5.0	115	16	0.5



New demands on codes

 Ultra-high luminosity and performance requirements

- High energy
- Strong radiation
- Crab-waist collision
- Beamstrahlung effect
- Small β_y^*

■

Facing challenges

- Sawtooth effect
- Rad-COD
- Rad-Tapering
- Strong lattice non-linearity
- Bunch lengthen and energy spread increasing
- Small dynamic aperture
- X-Z instability
- Accurate simulation of collision process

■

Developing a program with more accurate modeling and simulation is essential for CEPC-like accelerators.



Group introduction

• For these reasons, Accelerator Physics Emulation System was proposed in 2021 and received support from the IHEP Innovative Fund in 2022



Dr. Yuan Zhang



Code Status



Code Overview

FinishedUnderwayNot started

Step1

- Modeling of complicate lattice and get the survey
- Particle tracking
- Synchrotron Radiation
- Optics calculation
- Emittance
- Rad COD
- Radiation tapering

Step2

- Parallelization
- Beam-beam
- Beamsstrahlung
- Short-range wakefield
- E-cloud incoherent(ECIC)
- Error Analysis
- Spin
- Transverse feedbacks

Step3

• ...

- Matching and Optimization
- Long-range wakefield
- E-cloud self-consistent
- Ion instability
- Advanced collimation feature
- Space-charge effect

Python is selected as the Primary language

Investigation of popular codes in the past(not full list, not exact time)

Code	language	Year				
BMAD/TAO[1]	Fortran	1996				
SAD	Fortran	1986				
PTC/FPP	Fortran	1990s				
MAD8/MAD-X	C, C++, Fortran	2002				
Elegant	C,C++	2000				
TraceWin	C++	2009				
AT/pyAT	Matlab, C++/Python, C++	2000/2021				
Orbit/pyOrbit	C++/python	1999/2015				
Ocelot	Python	2011				
Pyapas	Python	2021				
Python, the primary language; C, the tracking engine						





APES Framework



•••

R4ISPB = HSBend('R4ISPB', length=0.600669, angle=-0.03951362, anglein=-0.01975681, angleout=-0.01975681) R4IMB = HSBend('R4IMB', length=1.034466, angle=-0.113089342, anglein=-0.056544671, angleout=-0.056544671)

Python

BPRList = [IP, D4I01, R4CBPM00, D4I01P, PSCQ4I, SCQI, ...] BPRLayout = Layout('BPR', entryLGCT=startAT, elementList=BPRList) surveytable = BPRLayout.getSurveyTable(level=1) beamline = TPLayout.getBeamLine('TP', refParticle=refParticle, isRing=False, alignmentError=True) result = beamline.calBeamLineTwiss(X0=np.zeros(6), betax=12.5, alphax=0, betay=12.5, alphay=0)

Particle Tracking: APES-T



• Independent Code

- Element mapping section is drawn inspiration and reference from other codes, especially SAD[1][2]
- Developed with C and CUDA C
- Supports 6D full-symplectic tracking element by element
- Supports parallel computing on both GPU and CPU
- Beam-beam effect is included
- Non-parallel version code of tracking part has been compiled to python library with Pybind11

[1] D. Zhou and K. Oide , "Maps Used in SAD" (unpublished).[2] https://acc-physics.kek.jp/SAD/



APES-T: Benchmark with SAD



- Comparison of Hamiltonian contours in horizontal phase space
- The island-like structures show that the two codes have very similar results in horizontal tune values.

Select SKEKB's ring for benchmarking

- Comparison of DA found by the two codes (SAD and APES-T) without radiation.
- The DA found by the two codes is essentially the same.





APES-T: Parallelization

- SUPERKEKB's double ring was used
- In particle tracking, the acceleration ratio :
- > GPU /CPU(single core) ≈ 250
- > GPU /MPI (node 10) ≈ 20
- > GPU /MPI (node 100) ≈ 4.50

GPU vs CPU(Single Core) Ideal acceleration ratio : float : \approx 700 double : \approx 350

Element by Element Tracking Time 119.28 120 100 79.42 80 **TIME/hour** 60 40 2.01 9.36 20 0.35 1.57 6.62 0 LER/hour HER/hour GPU 0.35 0.41 MPI NODE=100 1.57 2.01 MPI NODE=10 9.36 6.62 119.28 CPU SINGLE CORE 79.42

Consuming time in different parallelization scheme

Condition

GPU:NVIDIA A100 FP64: 9.746 TFLOPS (1:2) CPU: Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 6348 CPU @ 2.60GHz Numerical precision: double



- The concept "patch" is borrowed from code "PTC"
- "Patch" is an affine transformation between different frames.
- "PatchPassMethod" for phase space transformation
 - Single "Patch" affects the reference orbit downstream
 - "Patches" for errors affect local orbit only(appear in pairs).
 - A pair of "Patch" are used to model 'specially installed equipment'.



"Implementation of geometric transformation "patch" and associated passmethod in pyAT", Mengyu SU, this workshop



Emittance calculation considering radiation

- APES can calculate the emittance of Gaussian distributed beam when synchrotron radiation exists
- Two methods have been implemented and checked with each other.
- More benchmarks based on HEPS lattice and BEPCII lattice are underway.

•
$$\varepsilon_k = C_L \frac{\gamma^5}{c\alpha_k} \oint \frac{|E_{k5}(s)|^2}{|\rho(s)|^3} ds$$
, $k = 1, 2, 3$

 The damping matrix of bends which relates to the horizontal coordinate is considered.

$$\frac{U(\Delta E) - U(0)}{E_0}$$
$$= \frac{1}{cE_0} \int 2P_{\gamma}(0)\delta ds + \frac{1}{cE_0} \int 2P_{\gamma}(0)x ds$$

• Envelope matrix method(also used in SAD)[2] • $\frac{d\vec{x}}{ds} = -[H(\vec{x}), \vec{x}] + \xi(\vec{x}, s)$ → linearize • $\frac{d\vec{x}}{ds} = [S\hat{H} - D]\vec{x} + \hat{\xi}(s)$ • $\vec{x}(s) = M(s, s_0)\vec{x} + \int_{s_0}^{s} M(x', s_0)\hat{\xi}(s)dx'$ • $\langle x_i x_j \rangle = M(s, s_0)R_{ij}(s_0)M^T(s, s_0) + \int_{s_0}^{s} \int_{s_0}^{s} M(s, s_1) \langle \hat{\xi}(s)\hat{\xi}^T(s) \rangle M^T(s, s_2)ds_1ds_2$

[1] Chao A W. Evaluation of beam distribution parameters in an electron storage ring[J]. Journal of Applied Physics, 1979, 50(2): 595-598.[2] Kazuhito Ohmi, et al. From the beam-encelope matrix to synchrotron-radiation integrals[J]. Physical Review E, 1994:V49 751-765.

Some Examples



Modeling of BEPCII lattice





Tracking and strong-strong beam-beam simulation on BEPCII



- Two Version of lattices applied sequentially in BEPCII machine
 - Ber-V1: serious luminosity loss is found in real machine
 - Ber-V1: horizontal blowup was found in the element by element tracking with beam-beam effect considered
 - Ber-v2 : simulated luminosity is increased after optimizing sextupole by trial and error
- More researches need to be done



BER*BPR
100k × 100k particles,120000turns
BB: 7 × 7 slices, Gaussian approximation

Tracking and strong-strong beam-beam simulation on SKEKB



- Strong-strong beam-beam effect included
- Simulation with linear model
 - Vertical instability can be see when machine impedance is considered.
- Element-by-element tracking is introduced
 - Beam blowup phenomenon disappeared
 - The vertical beam size of HER slightly increased
 - The luminosity decreased slightly compared to the linear lattice.
- More research need to be done



- APES, a code for future CEPC circular collider, is proposed.
- Some functionalities have already been implemented and checked
 - modeling of explicit accelerator
 - optics calculation
 - particle tracking in GPU/CPU parallel mode with beam
 - emittance calculation
 - ...
- The benchmark and addition of more physical effects are still being progressed.
- We hope that this tool will provide strong assistance for future CEPC designs



- D. Sagan, "Bmad: A relativistic charged particle simulation library", Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A, Volume 558, Issue 1, 1 March 2006, Pages 356-359
- E. Forest, F. Schmidt, and E. McIntosh. Introduction to the Polymorphic Tracking Code. Technical Report CERN-SL2002-044, KEK-Report 2002-3, 2002.
- A.Terebilo, "Accelerator Toolbox for MATLAB", SLAC-PUB-8732, May 2001
- <u>https://at.readthedocs.io/en/latest</u>
- http://mad.web.cern.ch/mad/
- J. Galambos, "ORBIT A RING INJECTION CODE WITH SPACE CHARGE", Proceedings of the 1999 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, 1999
- D. Uriot, "TraceWin documentation", CEA Saclay DSM/Irfu/SACM/LEDA, Updated February 15th 2013
- Andrei Shishlo, et.al, "The Particle Accelerator Simulation Code PyORBIT", ICCS 2015 International Conference On Computational Science, Volume 51, 2015, Pages 1272–1281
- M. Borland, "elegant: A Flexible SDDS-Compliant Code for Accelerator Simulation," Advanced Photon Source LS-287, September 2000.
- I. Agapov et al., "OCELOT: a software framework for synchrotron light source and FEL studies", Nucl. Instr. Meth. A. 768 (2014) pp. 151-156
- https://code.ihep.ac.cn/heps-hla/pyapas.git



Thank you for your attention!

BackUp pages





Davamatava	Design	Achieved		
Farameters	Design	BER	BPR	
Energy (GeV)	1.0~2.1, 1.89	1.0~2.47, 1.89	1.0~2.47, 1.89	
Beam current (mA)	910	950	950	
Bunch number	93	118	118	
Beam-beam parameter	0.04	0.041		
β_x^*/β_y^* (m)	1.0/0.015	1.0/0.0135	1.0/0.0135	
Inj. Rate (mA/min)	200 e ⁻ / 50 e ⁺	>1000	>200	
Lum. (× 10 ³³ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	1.0	1.1		



BEPCII interaction section

- from apes.acc.Layout import *
- from apes.acc.Element import *
- from apes.acc.Particle import *
- from apes.lib.math.AffineTransformation import *
- ..
- ...
- initRotate = -0.011
- startAT = AT.createAT(azimuth=initRotate)
- ...
- SSCQI = Quadrupole('SSCQI', length=0.59989336, k1=-1.073308053)
- SSCQO = Quadrupole('SSCQO', length=0.59989335, k1=-1.073308053)
- PSCQ4I=Patch.createPatch('PSCQ4I', azimuth=initRotate, dx=0.0099988)
- ...
- R4ISPB = HSBend('R4ISPB', length=0.600669, angle=-0.03951362, anglein=-0.01975681, angleout=-0.01975681)
- R4IMB = HSBend('R4IMB', length=1.034466, angle=-0.113089342, anglein=-0.056544671, angleout=-0.056544671)
- ...

• ...

- BPRList = [IP, D4I01, R4CBPM00, D4I01P, PSCQ4I, SCQI, ...]
- BPRLayout = Layout('BPR', entryLGCT=startAT, elementList=BPRList)
- surveytable = BPRLayout.getSurveyTable(level=1)
- beamline = TPLayout.getBeamLine('TP', refParticle=refParticle, isRing=False, alignmentError=True)
- result = beamline.calBeamLineTwiss(X0=np.zeros(6), betax=12.5, alphax=0, betay=12.5, alphay=0)