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## - The 3D model of Accelerator

- An element is like a LEGO-block


Fig1. LEGO-block element, with reference frames for the entrance, element body, and exit. ${ }^{[1]}$

Fig2. LEGO-blocks elements on a base (global frame). ${ }^{[1]}$

## - The 3D model of Accelerator

- What can we do when everything has been moved?
- What if a special design where the magnet is not place on the conventional position, such as Quadruple placed horizontally eccentrically to create a bending magnet which is not a kicker?


Fig3. The design/ideal accelerator and the real accelerator in tunnel


Fig4. A dipole with transverse field gradient by design is often realized by a quadrupole placed with a horizontal offset

- The solution of $\mathrm{AT}^{[2]}$
- Generally, AT provides T1,T2 and R1,R2 field in most PassMethods to describe translation or rotations of the 6D coordinates; Concerning magnetic fields errors, the structures PolynomB and PolynomA provide full access to all magnetic components.
- Strong association between error (or non-conventional position) and the element
- Addresses the effect of error on phase space without changing the model of real space


## - A more universal solution

The concept of 'Patch' was introduced in PTC by Etienne Forest ${ }^{[1,4]}$
It's easy to add a 'Patch' class in pyAT for python's object-oriented program


Fig5. The solution using Patch

- A new element —— Patch
- Translation \& Rotation
- Misalignment can be also described by Patches. (Not true in PTC as we pointed out)



Fig7. Misalignment described by Patches

- Definition of geometric part ${ }^{[1,3,4,5,6]}$
- In local coordinate system
- Translation first
- Then rotation (Z-Y-X intrinsic rotations)
- PS. intrinsic rotations = rotated axis extrinsic rotations $=$ static/fixed axis


Fig8. Global Affine Frame, Local Affine Frame 1 and Local Affine Frame 2

Fig9. Z-Y-X intrinsic rotations

- Euler Angle and Rotation Matrix

$$
R=Z\left(\theta_{z}\right) * Y\left(\theta_{y}\right) * X\left(\theta_{\mathrm{x}}\right)(1)
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X\left(\theta_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \left(\theta_{x}\right) & -\sin \left(\theta_{x}\right) \\
0 & \sin \left(\theta_{x}\right) & \cos \left(\theta_{x}\right)
\end{array}\right](2 . a) \\
& Y\left(\theta_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \left(\theta_{y}\right) & 0 & \sin \left(\theta_{y}\right) \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\sin \left(\theta_{y}\right) & 0 & \cos \left(\theta_{x}\right)
\end{array}\right](2 . b) \\
& Z\left(\theta_{\mathrm{z}}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \left(\theta_{z}\right) & -\sin \left(\theta_{z}\right) & 0 \\
\sin \left(\theta_{z}\right) & \cos \left(\theta_{z}\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

properties: (use column vectors always)

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{G}=R_{1} T_{1}(3 . a) \\
R=A_{21}=A_{1 G}^{-1} A_{2 G}=R_{1}^{-1} A_{2 G}(3 . b) \\
R^{\prime}=R_{1} R R_{1}^{-1}(3 . c)
\end{gathered}
$$



Fig9. Z-Y-X intrinsic rotations

## - Implementation in code



Fig12. GeometricTransformation class
Fig11. AffineFrame class

## - Implementation in code



Fig13. Patch class and new functions in Element class
Fig14. New functions in Lattice class

- Passmethod ${ }^{[8,9]}$
- Coordinates and the Hamiltonian


Global Affine Frame

Fig15. Frenet-Serret Curvilinear Coordinate System ${ }^{[7]}$

PS. The Global Frenet-Serret Coordinate System and the reference orbit are utterly and completely rejected by Forest. At best, in Forest's framework and PTC, it is a local coordinate system sometimes assigned to some magnets but of no global significance as far as patches and even Courant-Snyder theory are concerned. According to Forest, it is an ideological poison in the writing of tracking code.

$$
H=\delta-\left(1+\frac{x}{\rho}\right)\left[(1+\delta)^{2}-\left(p_{x}-\frac{e A_{x}}{p_{0}}\right)^{2}-\left(p_{y}-\frac{e A_{y}}{p_{0}}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{e A_{s}}{p_{0}}(5)
$$

Phase space coordinates:

$$
\vec{r}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x \\
p_{x}=\frac{P_{x}}{P_{0}} \\
y  \tag{4}\\
p_{y}=\frac{P_{y}}{P_{0}} \\
\delta=\frac{P-P_{0}}{P_{0}} \\
l=c t-s
\end{array}\right.
$$

In Accelerator, the Hamiltonian is
where $\rho$ is the curvature radius of the reference orbit, $A$ is the vector potentials and $A_{x}=A \cdot \hat{x}, A_{y}=A \cdot \hat{y}, A_{s}=A \cdot \hat{s}$.

## - Passmethod ${ }^{[8,9]}$

## - Translation

We define the translation $T(\vec{d})$ by the Lie method:

$$
\begin{gathered}
T(\vec{d})=\exp \left(: d_{x} p_{x}+d_{y} p_{y}+d_{z} \sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}:\right) \\
\overrightarrow{r f}=T(\vec{d}) \vec{r}(6 . b)
\end{gathered}
$$

in component form,

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{f}=x-d_{x}+d_{z} \frac{p_{x}}{\sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}}(7 . a) \\
y^{f}=y-d_{y}+d_{z} \frac{p_{y}}{\sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}}(7 . b) \\
l^{f}=l+d_{z} \frac{(1+\delta)}{\sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}}
\end{gathered}
$$



Fig16. A particle runs straight through a patch with $d x$ and $d z$

- Passmethod ${ }^{[8,9]}$
- Rotation around Z axis
- By simple geometric relation,

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{f}=x \cos \theta_{z}+y \sin \theta_{z}(8 . a) \\
p_{x}^{f}=p_{x} \cos \theta_{z}+p_{y} \sin \theta_{z}(8 . b) \\
y^{f}=-x \sin \theta_{z}+y \cos \theta_{z}(8 . c) \\
p_{y}^{f}=-p_{x} \sin \theta_{z}+p_{y} \cos \theta_{z}(8 . d) \\
\delta^{f}=\delta, l^{f}=l(8 . e)
\end{gathered}
$$



Fig17. A particle runs straight through a patch with only rotation around z

- Passmethod ${ }^{[8,9]}$
- Rotation around $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}$ axis
- In particle's view, the rotations around $X$ axis and around Y axis are symmetric
- We derive rotation in the ideal bend by taking appropriate limits: $\rho_{c} \rightarrow 0, s \rightarrow 0, \frac{s}{\rho_{c}}=\theta, b_{0} \rightarrow 0$

(a)

Patch

(b)

Fig18. A sector bend around Y axis (a) and a Patch (b)

The Hamiltonian in cylindrical coordinates for the body of the sector bend(rotate $-\theta$ around Y axis) is

$$
H=-\left(1+\frac{x}{\rho_{c}}\right) \sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}+b_{0} x+b_{0} \frac{x^{2}}{2 \rho_{c}}
$$

where $\rho_{c}$ is the curvature of the frame of reference, $b_{0}=\frac{q B_{y}}{p_{0}}$ is the normalized field strength

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{f}=\frac{\rho_{c}}{b_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{c}} \sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}-\frac{d p_{x}^{f}}{d s}-b_{0}\right)(10 . a) \\
p_{x}^{f}=p_{x} \cos \left(\frac{s}{\rho_{c}}\right)+\left[\sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}-b_{0}\left(\rho_{c}+x\right)\right] \sin \left(\frac{s}{\rho_{c}}\right)(10 . b) \\
y^{f}=y+\frac{p_{y} s}{b_{0} \rho_{c}}+\frac{p_{y}}{b_{0}}\left(\arcsin \left(\frac{p_{x}}{\sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}}\right)-\arcsin \left(\frac{p_{x}^{f}}{\sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}}\right)\right\}(10 . c) \\
l^{f}=l+\frac{(1+\delta) s}{b_{0} \rho_{c}}+\frac{(1+\delta)}{b_{0}}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
p_{y}^{f}=p_{y}(10 . d) \\
\arcsin \left(\frac{p_{x}}{\sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}}\right)-\arcsin \left(\frac{p_{x}^{f}}{\sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}}\right)
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$


(a)

(b)

Fig18. A sector bend around $Y$ axis (a) and a Patch (b)

Under the limits $\rho_{c} \rightarrow 0, s \rightarrow 0, \frac{s}{\rho_{c}}=\theta, b_{0} \rightarrow 0$, we can derive the expressions for the rotation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{f}=\frac{x}{\cos \theta\left(1-\frac{p_{x} \tan \theta}{\sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}}\right)} \text { (11. a) } \\
& p_{x}^{f}=p_{x} \cos \theta+\sin \theta \sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}(11 . b) \\
& y^{f}=y+\frac{p_{y} x \tan \theta}{\sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{p_{x} \tan \theta}{\sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}}\right)} \text { (11.c) } \\
& p_{y}^{f}=p_{y}(3.9 . d) \\
& l^{f}=l+\frac{\begin{array}{c}
\delta^{f}=\delta(3.9 . e) \\
(1+\delta) x \tan \theta
\end{array}}{\sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{p_{x} \tan \theta}{\sqrt{(1+\delta)^{2}-p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2}}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$


(a)

(b)

Fig18. A sector bend around Y axis (a) and a Patch (b)

$$
R O T_{x}\left(\theta, x, p_{x}, y, p_{y}, \delta, l\right)=R O T_{y}\left(-\theta, y, p_{y}, x, p_{x}, \delta, l\right)(12)
$$

- Implementation in code
- Write it as 'PatchPass.py' ('PatchPass.c' is also available)
- Toss it into our 'Passmethod Repository'


Fig19. Passmethod Repository

## - High Energy Photon Source (HEPS) Booster



Fig20. Job site


Fig21. Measured data of the devices in the HEPS booster

- Model for the designed booster (abbreviated as 'ideal model') use both pyAT \& PTC
- Model for the measured booster (abbreviated as 'real model') use both pyAT \& PTC


Fig22. The HEPS design


Fig23. Misalignment along the Lattice

## - Close Orbit

- RMS:

$$
\sigma_{u}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(u_{i p y A T}-u_{i P T C}\right)^{2}}{N}}(u=x, y)(13)
$$

Tab2. The RMS of X and Y close orbit between pyAT and PTC

| $\sigma_{x}$ | $1.4054 \mathrm{E}-6$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma_{y}$ | $2.2867 \mathrm{E}-6$ |

Tab1. The close orbit at $\mathrm{s}=0$

|  |  | $x(\mathrm{~m})$ | $p_{x}$ | $y(\mathrm{~m})$ | $p_{y}$ | $\delta$ | $l(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ideal Model |  | $8.8667 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $-6.1296 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Real Model | pyAT | $-2.8487 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $2.2824 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $4.3742 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $-6.7828 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | PTC | $-2.8234 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $2.2754 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $4.3758 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $-6.7850 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

- Moreover, this approach is capable to model more complicated machine layout
- A ring contains only quadruple and drift, but no bend!


Fig28. A ring that contains only quadruple and drift
Fig29. An arbitrary part of the ring: Drift- Quadruple-Drift-Quadruple-Drift

- Moreover, this approach is capable to model more complicated machine layout
- A model like a roller coaster


Fig30. A roller coaster model (From Professor Étienne Forest), in which the usual reference orbit is absent.

- Conclusion


## - Add 'Patch' into pyAT

- For misalignment, the AT with patch can do as well as PTC
- Future TODO list:
- Based on our 'Patch' work, refine the AT_mat part
- Submit our code to the AT official code repository for review
- Applications for CEPC

Tab3. The tolerance of alignment for a 100 km ring ${ }^{[10]}$
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## Supplement

- High Energy Photon Source (HEPS) Booster
- $\beta$ function $\&$ dispersion function


Fig24. Ideal Model


Fig25. Real Model

- High Energy Photon Source (HEPS) Booster
- $\beta$ function $\&$ dispersion function
- Relative difference of $\beta$ function $\frac{\Delta \beta}{\beta}=\frac{\beta_{\text {pyAT }}-\beta_{\text {ideal }}}{\beta_{\text {ideal }}}$ (4.6)
- Difference of dispersion function $\quad \Delta$ dispersion $=$ dispersion $_{\text {pyAT }}-$ dispersion $_{\text {ideal }}(4.7)$


Fig26. Relative difference of $\beta$ function


Fig27. Difference of dispersion function

